| 1 | Erik R. Puknys (SBN: 190926) Erik.Puknys@finnegan.com | |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | M. Paul Barker (SBN: 243986) | |
| 3 | Paul.Barker@finnegan.com FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, | |
| 4 | GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 3300 Hillview Avenue | |
| 5 | Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (650) 849-6600 | |
| 6 | Facsimile: (650) 849-6666 | |
| 7 | Wesley E. Overson (SBN: 154737) woverson@mofo.com | |
| 8 | Grant L. Kim (SBN: 114989) gkim@mofo.com | |
| 9 | MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street | |
| 10 | San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: 415.268.7000 | |
| 11 | Facsimile: 415.268.7522 | |
| 12 | Attorneys for Defendant | |
| 13 | Cepheid | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 16 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 17 | SAN FRANCIS | SCO DIVISION |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, INC. | CASE NO. 3:14-cv-03228-EDL |
| 20 | Plaintiff, | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: EXTENSION OF |
| 21 | V. | DEADLINE FOR DEFENDANT CEPHEID TO ANSWER OR |
| 22 | CEPHEID | OTHERWISE RESPOND TO COMPLAINT |
| 23 | Defendants. | |
| 24 | | Hon. Magistrate Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |

| 1 | Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1 and Rule 12(a)(1)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil | | |
|----------|--|-------------|--|
| 2 | Procedure (FRCP), this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order is entered into by and between Defendant | | |
| 3 | Cepheid and Plaintiff Roche Molecular Systems, Inc. ("Roche") by and through their respective | | |
| 4 | counsel. | | |
| 5 | WHEREAS Roche filed its initial Complaint on July 16, 2014; | | |
| 6 | WHEREAS Roche served the summons on July 28, 2014; | | |
| 7 | WHEREAS counsel for Cepheid and counsel for Roche have now agreed to an extension of | | |
| 8 | time for Cepheid to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint to and including September 17, | | |
| 9 | 2014; and | | |
| 10 | WHEREAS this stipulation will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed | | |
| 11 | by Court order and is therefore in accordance with Civil Local Rule 6-1(a); | | |
| 12 | NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows: | | |
| 13 | The deadline for Cepheid to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint is extended to and | | |
| 14 | including September 17, 2014. | | |
| 15 | 15 IT IS SO STIPULATED. | | |
| 16 | 16 | | |
| 17 | GARRETT & DUNNER | | |
| 18 19 | | | |
| 20 | 20 By: /s/ Erik R. Puknys | , | |
| 21 | Erik R. Puknys | | |
| 22 | | S SHRIVER & | |
| 23 | 23 JACOBSON, LLP | | |
| 24 | 24 | | |
| 25 | By. 75/ Stephen S. Ruo | pinowitz | |
| 26 | | f | |
| 27 | 27 Roche Molecular Sys | tems, Inc. | |
| 28 | 28 | | |

Case3:14-cv-03228-EDL Document16 Filed08/08/14 Page3 of 4

ATTESTATION OF E-FILED SIGNATURE I, Erik R. Puknys, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order re: Extension of Deadline for Defendant Cepheid to Answer or Otherwise Respond to the Complaint. In compliance with Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that Stephen Solomon Rabinowitz has concurred in this filing. By: /s/ Erik R. Puknys Erik R. Puknys Attorneys for Defendant Cepheid

| 1 | [PROPOSED] ORDER |
|---------------------------------|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing therefore: |
| 4 | The deadline for Cepheid to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint is extended to and |
| 5 | including September 17, 2014 |
| 6 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 7 | |
| 8 | Dated: August, 2014 United States Magistrate Judge |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 2425 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |